COUNTY OF YOLO,
CALIFORNIA

SINGLE AUDIT REPORT

FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2008

COUNTY OF YOLO, CALIFORNIA

SINGLE AUDIT REPORT

FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2008

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	PAGE
Independent Auditors' Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*	1
Independent Auditors' Report on Compliance with Requirements Applicable to Each Major Program, on Internal Control Over Compliance, the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards in Accordance with *OMB Circular A-133,* and Supplementary Schedule of Community Services Block Grants.	3
Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards	6
Notes to Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards	11
Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs	
I. Summary of Auditors' Results	16
II. Financial Statement Findings	17
III. Federal Award Findings and Questioned Costs	19
Schedule of Prior Year Audit Findings and Questioned Costs	27
Supplemental Schedule of Community Services Block Grant	28

Vavrinek, Trine, Day & Co., LLP
Certified Public Accountants

VALUE THE DIFFERENCE

INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING AND ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS BASED ON AN AUDIT OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH *GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS*

Honorable Board of Supervisors
County of Yolo, California

We have audited the financial statements of the governmental activities, the business-type activities, the discretely presented component unit, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of the County of Yolo, California (County), as of and for the year ended June 30, 2008, which collectively comprise the County's basic financial statements and have issued our report thereon dated January 23, 2009. Our report refers to the County's adoption of the provisions of GASB No. 45, *Accounting and Financial Reporting by Employers of Postemployment Benefits Other Than Pensions*, GASB Statement No. 48, *Sales and Pledges of Receivables and Future Revenues and Intra –Entity Transfers*, and GASB Statement No. 50, *Pension Disclosures—an amendment of GASB Statements No. 25 and No. 27*, in 2008. Our report was modified to include a reference to other auditors. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Other auditors audited the financial statements of First Five Yolo Children and Families Commission, as described in our report on the County's financial statements. This report does not include the results of the other auditor's testing of internal control over financial reporting or compliance and other matters that are reported on separately by those auditors.

Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit, we considered the County's internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing our auditing procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the County's internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the County's internal control over financial reporting.

Our consideration of internal control over financial reporting was for the limited purpose described in the preceding paragraph and would not necessarily identify all deficiencies in internal control over financial reporting that might be significant deficiencies or material weaknesses. However, as discussed below, we identified certain deficiencies in internal control over financial reporting that we consider to be significant deficiencies.

8270 Aspen Street Rancho Cucamonga, CA 91730 Tel: 909.466.4410 Fax: 909.466.4431 www.vtdcpa.com
FRESNO • LAGUNA HILLS • PALO ALTO • PLEASANTON • RANCHO CUCAMONGA

A control deficiency exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent or detect misstatements on a timely basis. A significant deficiency is a control deficiency, or a combination of control deficiencies, that adversely affects the County's ability to initiate, authorize, record, process, or report financial data reliably in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles such that there is more than a remote likelihood that a misstatement of the County's financial statements that is more than inconsequential will not be prevented or detected by the County's internal control. We consider the deficiency described in the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs as item 2008-01 to be a significant deficiency in internal control over financial reporting.

A material weakness is a significant deficiency, or combination of significant deficiencies, that results in more than a remote likelihood that a material misstatement of the financial statements will not be prevented or detected by the entity's internal control.

Our consideration of the internal control over financial reporting was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and would not necessarily identify all deficiencies in the internal control that might be significant deficiencies and, accordingly, would not necessarily disclose all significant deficiencies that are also considered to be material weaknesses. However, we believe that none of the significant deficiencies described above is a material weakness.

Compliance and Other Matters

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether County's financial statements are free of material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

We noted certain matters that we reported to management of the County of Yolo in a separate letter dated January 23, 2009.

The County's responses to the findings identified in our audit are described in the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs. We did not audit the County's responses and, accordingly, we express no opinion on it.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of the Board of Supervisors, County management and Federal awarding agencies and pass-through entities and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

Vavrinek, Trine, Day & Co. LLP

Rancho Cucamonga, California
January 23, 2009

INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT ON COMPLIANCE WITH REQUIREMENTS APPLICABLE TO EACH MAJOR PROGRAM, ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER COMPLIANCE, AND THE SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS IN ACCORDANCE WITH *OMB CIRCULAR A-133,* AND SUPPLEMENTARY SCHEDULE OF COMMUNITY SERVICES BLOCK GRANTS

Honorable Board of Supervisors
County of Yolo, California

Compliance

We have audited the compliance of the County of Yolo, California (County), with the types of compliance requirements described in the *U.S. Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Circular A-133 Compliance Supplement* that are applicable to each of its major federal programs for the year ended June 30, 2008. The County of Yolo's major federal programs are identified in the summary of auditor's results section of the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs. Compliance with the requirements of laws, regulation, contracts, and grants applicable to each of its major federal programs is the responsibility of the County of Yolo's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the County of Yolo's compliance based on our audit.

We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States; and OMB Circular A-l33, *Audits of States, Local Governments, and Non-Profit Organizations*. Those standards and OMB Circular A-133 require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether noncompliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on a major federal program occurred. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence about the County's compliance with those requirements and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion. Our audit does not provide a legal determination of the County's compliance with those requirements.

As described in items 2008-02, 2008-03 and 2008-04 in the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs, the County did not comply with requirements regarding allowable cost, and special test and provisions that are applicable to its Temporary Assistance to Needy Families and Food Stamps Cluster programs. Compliance with such requirements is necessary, in our opinion, for the County to comply with the requirements applicable to those programs.

In our opinion, except for the noncompliance described in the preceding paragraph, the County complied, in all material respects, with the requirements referred to above that are applicable to each of its major federal programs for the year ended June 30, 2008.

8270 Aspen Street Rancho Cucamonga, CA 91730 Tel: 909.466.4410 Fax: 909.466.4431 www.vtdcpa.com
FRESNO • LAGUNA HILLS • PALO ALTO • PLEASANTON • RANCHO CUCAMONGA

The results of our auditing procedures also disclosed other instances of noncompliance with those requirements, which are required to be reported in accordance with OMB Circular A-133 and which are described in the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs as item 2008-05.

Internal Control Over Compliance

The management of the County is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over compliance with the requirements of laws, regulations, contracts, and grants applicable to federal programs. In planning and performing our audit, we considered the County's internal control over compliance with the requirements that could have a direct and material effect on a major federal program in order to determine our auditing procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinion on compliance, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control over compliance. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the County's internal control over compliance.

Our consideration of internal control over compliance was for the limited purpose described in the preceding paragraph and would not necessarily identify all deficiencies in the County's internal control that might be significant deficiencies or material weaknesses as defined below. However, as discussed below, we identified certain deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be significant deficiencies and others that we consider to be material weaknesses.

A *control deficiency* in an entity's internal control over compliance exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent or detect noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program on a timely basis. A *significant deficiency* is a control deficiency, or combination of control deficiencies, that adversely affects the County's ability to administer a federal program such that there is more than a remote likelihood that noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program that is more than inconsequential will not be prevented or detected by the County's internal control. We consider the deficiencies in internal control over compliance described in the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs as items 2008-02 through 2008-05 to be significant deficiencies.

A *material weakness* is a significant deficiency, or combination of significant deficiencies, that results in more than a remote likelihood that material noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program will not be prevented or detected by the County's internal control. Of the significant deficiencies in internal control over compliance described in the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs, we consider items 2008-02, 2008-03 and 2008-04 to be material weaknesses.

Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards and Supplemental Schedule of Community Services Block Grants

We have audited the financial statements of the governmental activities, the business-type activities, the discretely presented component unit, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of the County of Yolo as of and for the year ended June 30, 2008, and have issued our report thereon dated January 23, 2009. Our report refers to the County's adoption of the provisions of GASB No. 45, *Accounting and Financial Reporting by Employers of Postemployment Benefits Other Than Pensions*, GASB Statement No. 48, *Sales and Pledges of Receivables and Future Revenues and Intra –Entity Transfers*, and GASB Statement No. 50, *Pension Disclosures—an amendment of GASB Statements No. 25 and No. 27*, in 2008. We did not audit the financial statements of the First Five Yolo Children and Families First Commission for the year ended June 30, 2008. Those financial statements were audited by other auditors whose reports thereon have been furnished to us, and our opinions, insofar as it relates to the amounts included for the First Five Yolo Children and Families First Commission, are based on the reports of the other auditors. Our audit was performed for the purpose of forming opinions on the financial statements that collectively comprise the County's basic financial statements.

The accompanying schedule of expenditures of federal awards and supplemental schedule of Community Services Block Grants is presented for purposes of additional analysis as required by OMB Circular A-133 and the California Department of Community Services and Development and is not a required part of the basic financial statements. Such information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the basic financial statements and, in our opinion, is fairly stated in all material respects in relation to the basic financial statements taken as a whole.

The County's responses to the findings identified in our audit are described in the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs. We did not audit the County's responses and, accordingly, we express no opinion on them.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of the Board of Supervisors, County management and Federal awarding agencies and pass-through entities and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

Vavrinek, Trine, Day & Co. LLP

Rancho Cucamonga, California
March 25, 2009, except for the schedule of expenditures of federal awards
and supplementary schedule of Community Services Block Grants,
as to which the date is January 23, 2009.

COUNTY OF YOLO, CALIFORNIA

SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS
YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2008

Federal Grantor/Pass-Through Grantor/Program Title	Federal CFDA Number	Pass-Through Grantor's Number	Federal Expenditures
U.S. Department of Agriculture			
Passed through State of California Department of Food and Agriculture			
Plant and Animal Disease, Pest Control and Animal Care	10.225		$ 46,200
Passed through State of California Department of Social Services			
Food Stamps Cluster:			
Food Stamps [1]	10.551		13,464,240
State Administrative Matching Grants for Food Stamp Program [1]	10.561		1,955,963
Total Food Stamps Cluster			15,420,203
Passed through State of California Department of Education			
Child Nutrition Cluster:			
Special Milk Program for Children	10.556		113,563
Passed through State of California Department of Health Services			
Special Supplemental Fund Program - WIC	10.557		780,503
Special Supplemental Fund Program - WIC Vouchers	10.557		3,475,227
			4,255,730
Passed through State of California Department of Forestry and Fire Protection			
Cooperative Forestry Assistance	10.664		8,310
Total U.S. Department of Agriculture			19,844,006
U.S. Department of Energy			
Passed through University of Delaware			
Intelligent Bioreactor Management Information System (IBM-IS) for Mitigation of Green House Gas Emissions	81.DE-FC26-05NT4232	DE-FC26-05NT4232	26,437
U.S. Department of Defense			
Direct Program			
Community Economic Adjustment Assistance for Establishment, Expansion, Realignment, or closure of military installation	12.607	CL0737-07-01	33,100
U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development			
Passed through State of California Department of Housing and Community Development			
Home Investment Partnerships Program	14.239		693,516
Community Development Block Grant/State's Program	14.228		472,438
Total U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development			1,165,954
U.S. Department of the Interior			
Passed through the State of California Controller's Office			
Distribution of Receipts to State and Local Governments	15.227		81
Passed through Bureau of Reclamation			
Fish and Wildlife Coordination Act	15.517		56,906
Total U.S. Department of the Interior			56,987

[1] Denotes a major Federal financial assistance program.

See accompanying notes to schedule of expenditures of federal awards.

COUNTY OF YOLO, CALIFORNIA

SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS
YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2008

Federal Grantor/Pass-Through Grantor/Program Title	Federal CFDA Number	Pass-Through Grantor's Number	Federal Expenditures
U.S. Department of Justice			
Direct Program			
State Criminal Alien Assistance Program	16.606		$ 119,537
Bulletproof Vest Partnership Program	16.607		3,000
Edward Byrne Memorial Justice Grant Program	16.738	2007-DJ-BX-0018	94,396
			216,933
Passed through State of California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation			
Juvenile Accountablility Incentive Block Grants	16.523	CSA 120-07	10,274
Passed through State of Californai Governor's Office of Emergency Services			
Crime Victim Assistance	16.575	VW07250570	82,456
Edward Byrne Memorial Justice Grant Program	16.738	DC07180570	173,573
Anti-Gang Initiative	16.744	AG07010570	40,210
Violence Against Women Formula Grants	16.588	VV07030570	90,000
			386,239
Passed through the National Association of VOCA Assistance Administrators			
Crime Victim Assistance/Discretionary Grants	16.582	08-108	5,000
Total U.S. Department of Justice			618,446
U.S. Department of Labor			
Passed through State of California Department of Employment Development			
Workforce Investment Act Cluster:			
WIA Adult Program	17.258		582,931
WIA Youth Activities	17.259		950,540
WIA Dislocated Worker	17.260		526,161
Total Workforce Investment Act Cluster			2,059,632
Total U.S. Department of Labor			2,059,632
U.S. Department of Transportation			
Direct Program			
Airport Improvement Program	20.106	3-06-0342-07	54,301
Airport Improvement Program	20.106	3-06-0342-08	18,136
			72,437
Passed through State of California Department of Transportation			
Highway Planning and Construction Cluster:			
Highway Planning and Construction	20.205	STPL 5922 (053)	15,950
Highway Planning and Construction	20.205	STPCML 5922 (055)	10,484
Highway Planning and Construction	20.205	BRLO 5922 (031)	523
Highway Planning and Construction	20.205	BRLO 5922 (037)	58,211
Highway Planning and Construction	20.205	STPL 5922 (047)	43,891
Highway Planning and Construction	20.205	STPL 5922 (058)	341,891
Highway Planning and Construction	20.205	STPL 5922 (064)	24,652
Highway Planning and Construction	20.205	BRLOZE 5922 (034)	25,983
Highway Planning and Construction	20.205	SPOA 5922 (068)	25,237
Highway Planning and Construction	20.205	BRLO 5922 (066)	64,705

[1] Denotes a major Federal financial assistance program.

See accompanying notes to schedule of expenditures of federal awards.

COUNTY OF YOLO, CALIFORNIA

SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS
YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2008

Federal Grantor/Pass-Through Grantor/Program Title	Federal CFDA Number	Pass-Through Grantor's Number	Federal Expenditures
Continued - Department of Transportation			
Highway Planning and Construction Cluster, (Continued):			
Highway Planning and Construction	20.205	BRLO 5922 (044)	$ 545,522
Highway Planning and Construction	20.205	BRLO 5922 (050)	12,503
Highway Planning and Construction	20.205	RPSTPL 5922 (054)	120,624
Highway Planning and Construction	20.205	HRRRL 5922 (076)	43,397
Highway Planning and Construction	20.205	HRRRL 5922 (075)	4,064
Highway Planning and Construction	20.205	BRLO 5922 (059)	49,401
Total Highway Planning and Construction Cluster			1,387,038
Passed through State of California Business, Transportation and Highway Safety Cluster:			
Housing Agency			
State and Community Highway Safety	20.600	OP0703	152,535
Passed through City of Davis			
State and Community Highway Safety	20.600		5,089
Passed through County of San Diego			
State and Community Highway Safety	20.600		17,670
Total Highway Safety Cluster			175,294
Total U.S. Department of Transportation			1,634,769
National Foundation of the Arts and the Humanities			
Passed through California State Library			
Public Library Staff Education Program	45.310		7,142
U.S. Department of Veterans Administration			
Passed through State of California Department of Veterans Affairs			
Burial Expenses Allowance for Veterans	64.101		900
U.S. Department of Education			
Passed through State of California Department of Alcohol and Drug			
Safe and Drug Free Schools and Communities National Program	84.186	SDF 03-25	31,646
Passed through Tulare County Office of Education			
Safe and Drug Free Schools and Communities National Program	84.186	06-00119	33,500
Passed through Woodland Joint Unified School District			
Safe and Drug Free Schools and Communities National Program	84.184		436,797
Passed through Yolo County Office of Education			
Special Education Grants to States Cluster	84.027		366,989
Total U.S. Department of Education			868,932
Elections Assistance Commission			
Passed Through State of California Secretary of State			
Help America Vote Act Requirements Payments	90.401	07G30139	58,338

[1] Denotes a major Federal financial assistance program.

See accompanying notes to schedule of expenditures of federal awards.

COUNTY OF YOLO, CALIFORNIA

SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS
YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2008

Federal Grantor/Pass-Through Grantor/Program Title	Federal CFDA Number	Pass-Through Grantor's Number	Federal Expenditures
U.S. Department of Health and Human Services			
Direct program			
Unaccompanied Alien Children Program	93.676	HHSP2332008290 7YB	$ 477,453
Passed through Area 4 Agency on Aging			
Special Programs For the Aging Title III, Part 3 Grants for Supportive and senior centers - aging Cluster	93.044		32,542
Passed through State of California Department of Alcohol and Drug Abuse Programs			
Substance Abuse Prevention & Treatment Block Grants (SAPT)	93.959		1,443,167
Passed through State of California Department of Child Support Services			
Child Support Enforcement	93.563		3,880,016
Passed through State Department of Social Services			
Promoting Safe and Stable Families	93.556		124,684
Temporary Assistance for Needy Families (TANF) [1]	93.558		17,760,589
Refugee and Entrant Assistance - State Administered Programs	93.566		197,072
Community-Based Child Abuse Prevention Grants	93.590		21,558
Child Welfare Services – State Grants	93.645		132,321
Foster Care – Title IV-E [1]	93.658		5,339,934
Adoption Assistance	93.659		3,307,908
Social Service Block Grant (Title XX)	93.667		283,404
CHAFFE Foster Care Independent Living	93.674		78,797
Subtotal			27,246,267
Passed through State Department of Community Services and Development			
Community Services Block Grant	93.569	07F-4842	23,000
Community Services Block Grant	93.569	06F-4754	135,164
Community Services Block Grant	93.569	08F-4952	128,036
Subtotal			286,200
Passed through State of California Department of Veterans Affairs			
Medicaid Cluster:			
Medical Assistance Program	93.778		5,167
Passed through State of California Department of Health Services			
Medical Assistance Program	93.778		8,236,432
Total Medicaid Cluster			8,241,599
Passed through State of California Department of Health Services			
Childhood Lead Poisoning Prevention Program (CLPPP)	93.197		11,858
Immunization Grants	93.268		51,157
State Children's Insurance Program	93.767		30,278
National Bioterrorim Hospital Preparedness Program	93.889		15,326
Maternal and Child Health Services Block Grant	93.994		137,222
Bioterrorism Training and Curriculum Development	93.996		409,115
Subtotal			654,956

[1] Denotes a major Federal financial assistance program.

See accompanying notes to schedule of expenditures of federal awards.

COUNTY OF YOLO, CALIFORNIA

SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS
YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2008

Federal Grantor/Pass-Through Grantor/Program Title	Federal CFDA Number	Pass-Through Grantor's Number	Federal Expenditures
Continued - U.S. Department of Health and Human Services			
Passed through the State Department of Mental Health			
Projects for Assistance in Transition from Homelessness (PATH)	93.150		$ 48,453
Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Projects of Regionally and National Significance	93.243		925,430
Block Grants for Community Health Services (SAMHSA)	93.958		327,554
Subtotal			1,301,437
Passed through Governors Office of Emergency Services			
Childrens Justice Grants to States	93.643	EF06010570	39,264
Childrens Justice Grants to States	93.643	EF07020570	19,596
			58,860
Passed through Yolo County Office of Education			
Head Start	93.600		3,586
Total U.S. Department of Health and Human Services			43,626,083
Social Security Administration			
Direct Program			
Disability Insurance/SSI Cluster:			
Supplemental Security Income - Inmate Reporting Incentive	96.006		4,400
U.S. Department of Homeland Security			
Direct Program:			
Assistance to Firefighters Grant	97.044	EMW-2006-FG-00745	118,750
Assistance to Firefighters Grant	97.044	EMW-2007-FO-07052	8,995
			127,745
Passed though State of California Department of Forestry and Fire Protection			
Staffing for Adequate Fire and Emergency Response (SAFER)	97.083	7CA55206	8,311
Assistance to Firefighters Grant	97.044	7FG70062	12,973
			21,284
Passed through State of California Governor's Office of Emergency Services			
Emergency Management Performance Grants	97.042		115,698
Disaster Grants Public Assistance	97.036		52,944
State Homeland Security Grant Cluster:			
State Homeland Security Program	97.073		250,313
Law Enforcement Terrorism Prevention Program	97.074		173,353
Total State Homeland Security Grant Cluster			423,666
Subtotal			592,308
Total U.S. Department of Homeland			741,337
Total Expenditures of Federal Awards			$ 70,746,463

[1] Denotes a major Federal financial assistance program.

See accompanying notes to schedule of expenditures of federal awards.

NOTES TO SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS
YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2008

NOTE #1 – SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

A. General

The accompanying Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards presents the activity of all federal awards programs of the County of Yolo. The County of Yolo's reporting entity is defined in Note 1 to the County's basic financial statements. All federal awards received directly from federal agencies as well as federal awards passed through other government agencies are included in the schedule.

B. Basis of Accounting

The accompanying Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards is presented using the modified accrual basis of accounting except for programs recorded in the County's enterprise funds, which are presented using the accrual basis of accounting, which is described in Note 2 to the County's basic financial statements. The information in this schedule is presented in accordance with the requirements of OMB Circular A-133, *Audits of States, Local Governments, and Non-Profit Organizations.*

C. Relationship to Basic Financial Statements

The amounts reported in the accompanying Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards agree, in all material respects, to amounts reported within the County's financial statements. Federal award revenues are reported principally in the County's financial statements as intergovernmental revenues in the General and Special Revenue funds.

D. Federal Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance (CFDA) Numbers

The CFDA numbers included in this report were determined based on the program name, review of grant contract information, and the Office of Management and Budget's Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance.

E. Food Stamps

Food stamps expenditures represent the face value of food stamps distributed in the County. They do not represent cash expenditures in the County's basic financial statements for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2008.

F. Pass-Through Entities' Identifying Number

When federal awards were received from a pass-through entity, the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards shows, if available, the identifying number assigned by the pass-through entity. When no identifying number is shown, the County has determined that no identifying number is assigned for the program or the County was unable to obtain an identifying number from the pass-through entity.

NOTE #2 – AMOUNT PROVIDED TO SUBRECIPIENTS

Of the federal expenditures presented in the accompanying Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards, the County provided Federal awards to subrecipients as follows:

CFDA No.	County Program Title	Pass-Though Entity	Amount
17.259	Workforce Investment Act- Youth Activities	Community College Foundation	$ 68,355
17.259	Workforce Investment Act- Youth Activities	Rise, Inc.	67,413
93.558	Temporary Assistance for Needy Families	City of Davis	2,802,856
93.569	Community Service Block Grant	Davis Community Meals Resource Center	24,409
93.569	Community Service Block Grant	Food Bank of County of Yolo	53,872
93.569	Community Service Block Grant	Peoples Resources Inc	18,968
93.569	Community Service Block Grant	United Christian Centers	41,129
93.569	Community Service Block Grant	Short Term Emergency Aid Commission	17,955
93.569	Community Service Block Grant	Yolo Wayfarer Center	30,236
		Total	$ 3,125,193

NOTE #3 – LOANS OUTSTANDING

The programs listed below had the following aggregate, federally-funded loans receivable outstanding at June 30, 2008:

CFDA No.	Program Title	Amount
14.228	Community Development Block Grant/State's Program	$ 2,525,487

NOTE #4 – OFFICE OF EMERGENCY SERVICES GRANT

The following represents expenditures for Office of Emergency Services (OES) programs for the year ended June 30, 2008. The amount reported in the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards is determined by calculating the federal portion of the current year expenditures.

Office of Emergency Services Grants

		Expenditures Claimed			Share of Expenditures Current Year			
	Budget	For the Period Through 30-Jun-07	For the Year Ended 30-Jun-08	Cummulative as of 30-Jun-08	Federal Share	State Share	County Share	Total
Award: VW07250570, Yolo County Victim/Witness Assistance Center								
Grant Period: 7/1/2007 to 6/30/2008								
Audit Period: 7/1/2007 to 6/30/2008								
Personal services	185,984	0	185,984	185,984	82,456	103,528	0	185,984
Totals	185,984	0	185,984	185,984	82,456	103,528	0	185,984

NOTE #4 – OFFICE OF EMERGENCY SERVICES GRANT, (CONTINUED)

Office of Emergency Services Grants

		Expenditures Claimed			Share of Expenditures Current Year			
	Budget	For the Period Through 30-Jun-07	For the Year Ended 30-Jun-08	Cummulative as of 30-Jun-08	Federal Share	State Share	County Share	Total
Award: EF06010570, Forensic Evaluation Program								
Grant Period: 1/1/2007 to 12/31/2007								
Audit Period: 7/1/2007 to 6/30/2008								
Personal services	34,840	398	3,205	3,603	3,205	0	0	3,205
Operating expenses	15,160	10,338	36,059	46,397	36,059	0	0	36,059
Totals	50,000	10,736	39,264	50,000	39,264	0	0	39,264
Award: EF07020570, Forensic Evaluation Program								
Grant Period: 1/1/2008 to 12/31/2008								
Audit Period: 7/1/2007 to 6/30/2008								
Personal services	37,809	0	15,249	15,249	15,249	0	0	15,249
Operating expenses	12,191	0	4,347	4,347	4,347	0	0	4,347
Totals	50,000	0	19,596	19,596	19,596	0	0	19,596
Award: VB0750570, Yolo County Vertical Prosecution Program								
Grant Period: 7/1/2007 to 6/30/2008								
Audit Period: 7/1/2007 to 6/30/2008								
Personal services	301,205	0	297,630	297,630	0	297,630	0	297,630
Totals	301,205	0	297,630	297,630	0	297,630	0	297,630
Award: DC07180570, Yolo County Narcotics Team								
Grant Period: 7/1/2007 to 6/30/2008								
Audit Period: 7/1/2007 to 6/30/2008								
Personal services	142,139	0	141,935	141,935	141,935	0	0	141,935
Operating expenses	31,638	0	31,638	31,638	31,638	0	0	31,638
Totals	173,777	0	173,573	173,573	173,573	0	0	173,573
Award: VV07030570, Violence Against Women Vertical Prosecution Program								
Grant Period: 7/1/2007 to 6/30/2008								
Audit Period: 7/1/2007 to 6/30/2008								
Personal services	120,000	0	120,000	120,000	90,000	0	30,000	120,000
Totals	120,000	0	120,000	120,000	90,000	0	30,000	120,000

The required 25% County match was met. The County match was funded with descretionary General Fund dollars and was used for program salaries and benefits.

Award: AG07010570, Anti-Gang Initiative								
Grant Period: 10/1/2007 to 9/30/2008								
Audit Period: 7/1/2007 to 6/30/2008								
Personal services	46,800	0	37,010	37,010	37,010	0	0	37,010
Operating expenses	3,200	0	3,200	3,200	3,200	0	0	3,200
Totals	50,000	0	40,210	40,210	40,210	0	0	40,210

NOTE #4 – OFFICE OF EMERGENCY SERVICES GRANT, (CONTINUED)

Office of Emergency Services Grants

		Expenditures Claimed			Share of Expenditures Current Year			
	Budget	For the Period Through 30-Jun-07	For the Year Ended 30-Jun-08	Cummulative as of 30-Jun-08	Federal Share	State Share	County Share	Total
Award: MH06010570, Cal-MMET								
Grant Period: 7/1/2006 to 12/31/2007								
Audit Period: 7/1/2007 to 6/30/2008								
Personal services	17,041	13,886	3,855	17,741	0	3,855	0	3,855
Operating expenses	887,666	234,834	530,256	765,090	0	530,256	0	530,256
Equipment	660,490	368,548	370,551	739,099	0	370,551	0	370,551
Totals	1,565,197	617,268	904,662	1,521,930	0	904,662	0	904,662
Award: MH07020570, Cal-MMET								
Grant Period: 7/1/2007 to 9/30/2008								
Audit Period: 7/1/2007 to 6/30/2008								
Personal services	31,900	0	27,886	27,886	0	27,886	0	27,886
Operating expenses	1,196,951	0	1,020,176	1,020,176	0	1,020,176	0	1,020,176
Equipment	350,094	0	116,812	116,812	0	116,812	0	116,812
Totals	1,578,945	0	1,164,874	1,164,874	0	1,164,874	0	1,164,874

NOTE #5 – CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS AND REHABILITATION GRANTS

The following represents expenditures for the California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation programs for the year ended June 30, 2008. The amount reported in the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards is determined by calculating the federal portion of the current year expenditures.

Deparment of Corrections and Rehabilitation Grant

		Expenditures Claimed			Share of Expenditures Current Year			
	Budget	For the Period Through 30-Jun-07	For the Year Ended 30-Jun-08	Cummulative as of 30-Jun-08	Federal Share	State Share	County Share	Total
CSA 120-07, Juvenile Incentive Vertical Prosecution Unit								
Grant Period: 7/1/2007 to 6/30/2008								
Audit Period: 7/1/2007 to 6/30/2008								
Personal services	11,416	0	11,416	11,416	10,274	0	1,142	11,416
Totals	11,416	0	11,416	11,416	10,274	0	1,142	11,416

The required 10% County match was met. The County match was funded with descretionary General Fund dollars and was used for program salaries and benefits.

NOTE #6 – DEPARTMENT OFAGING FEDERAL/STATE SHARE

The California Department of Aging (CDA) requires agencies who receive CDA funding to display state-funded expenditures discretely along with federal expenditures. The County expended the following state and federal amounts under these grants:

CFDA No.	Grant No.	Federal Expenditures	State Expenditures
93.044	--	$ 32,542	$ -

NOTE #7 – CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

The following represents expenditures for the California Department of Justice programs for the year ended June 30, 2008.

		Expenditures Claimed			Share of Expenditures Current Year			
	Budget	For the Period Through June 30, 2007	For the Year Ended June 30, 2008	Cumulative as of June 30, 2008	Federal Share	State Share	County Share	Total
Department of Justice Grant								
Award: 07SA14C041, Spousal Abuser Prosecution Program								
Grant Period: 7/1/2007 to 6/30/2008								
Audit Period: 7/1/2007 to 6/30/2008								
Salaries	144,326	0	149,968	149,968	0	61,248	88,720	149,968
Benefits	55,952	0	62,190	62,190	0	0	62,190	62,190
Totals	200,278	0	212,158	212,158	0	61,248	150,910	212,158

The required 20% County match was met. The County match was funded with descretionary General Fund dollars and was used for program salaries and benefits.

COUNTY OF YOLO, CALIFORNIA

SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS
YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2008

I. SUMMARY OF AUDITORS' RESULTS

FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Type of auditors' report issued:	Unqualified
Internal control over financial reporting:	
Material weaknesses identified?	No
Significant deficiencies identified not considered to be material weaknesses?	Yes
Noncompliance material to financial statements noted?	No

FEDERAL AWARDS

Internal control over major programs:	
Material weaknesses identified?	Yes
Significant deficiencies identified not considered to be material weaknesses?	Yes

Type of auditors' report issued on compliance for major programs:

Unqualified for all major programs except for Temporary Assistance for Needy Families and Food Stamps Cluster, which were qualified

Any audit findings disclosed that are required to be reported in accordance with Circular A-133, Section .510(a) — Yes

Identification of major programs:

CFDA Numbers	Name of Federal Program or Cluster
10.551, 10.561	Food Stamps Cluster
93.558	Temporary Assistance for Needy Families
93.658	Foster Care Title IV-E

Dollar threshold used to distinguish between Type A and Type B programs: $ 2,122,394

Auditee qualified as low-risk auditee under OMB Circular A-133, Section 530? No

II. FINANCIAL STATEMENT FINDINGS

The following findings represent significant deficiencies, material weaknesses, or instances of noncompliance related to the financial statements that are required to be reported in accordance with generally accepted government auditing standards:

Finding 2008-01

YEAR END ACCRUAL AND CUTOFF

Criteria:

Proper year-end cutoff is critical for budgetary compliance and the accuracy of accrual basis accounting. Generally accepted accounting principles require that governments accrue liabilities and expenditures for most expenditures and transfers in the period in which the government incurs the liability. It was noted the County's departments are required to prepare and submit year-end accruals to the General Accounting Department as part of the closing process. The current policy requires the County's departments to submit all invoices for goods and services received prior to June 30th in excess of $5,000 for accrual in the County's financial statements. Invoices for amounts under $5,000 generally are not required to be submitted or accrued in the financial statements. The County does not have a similar "threshold" policy for revenue recognition.

Condition:

During our examination, it was noted that numerous accruals, primarily pertaining to liabilities for goods and services received prior to year-end, had not been accrued in the respective year-end fund general ledgers, resulting in proposed audit adjustments.

Context:

The County did not properly accrue for goods and services received prior to June 30.

Effect:

Significant adjustments were made to liabilities and expense.

Cause:

The County did not comply with the Year End Closing Manual. This manual provides the necessary instructions pertaining to the accounting for expenditure accruals.

Recommendation:

Although, it is noted that the County has a formal policy on capturing its year-end accruals, we recommend that the County implement additional procedures to ensure that all material transactions are accrued in the proper period. These procedures should include the following:

II. FINANCIAL STATEMENT FINDINGS

- Departments should be instructed to submit all invoices received for goods and services or estimate recurring monthly expenditures based on the best available information in order to ensure timely processing by the County's general accounting department.
- The general accounting department should utilize their internal auditors to perform testing procedures subsequent to the accounting year-end date to validate County departments' year-end accruals and estimates.
- Based on the outcome of these results, document and record necessary adjustments to the financial statements.

By implementing additional procedures, material transactions requiring accrual may be identified and corrected in a timely manner in order to facilitate the audit process and issuance of the County's CAFR.

View of responsible officials:

The County's Auditor-Controller's staff meets with departments annually to discuss year-end procedures. If requested Auditor-Controller staff provides additional training for year-end closing procedures. The year-end procedures are updated annually to improve year-end closing. The County's financial system does not have an accounts payable module. Instead the Auditor-Controller's staff relies on departments to follow other procedures to capture accounts payable transactions at year-end. New procedures relating to expenditures paid against encumbrances were implemented. Additional training will be provided to ensure department financial staff understand the new procedures. The year-end procedures will be updated to include an accounts receivable threshold of $5,000.

III. FEDERAL AWARD FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS

The following findings represent significant deficiencies, material weaknesses, and/or instances of noncompliance including questioned costs that are required to be reported by OMB Circular A-133, section .510(a).

Finding 2008-02

Program: Food Stamps Cluster, Temporary Assistance for Needy Families
CFDA No.: 10.551, 10.561, 93.558
Federal Agency: Department of Agriculture, Department of Health and Human Services
Passed-through: State of California Department of Social Services
Award Year: FY 2007-2008
Compliance Requirement: Allowable Costs and Activities

Criteria:

OMB Circular A-133, Sub-part C, Section 300, Part b, states that the auditee is responsible for "maintaining internal control over Federal programs that provide reasonable assurance that the auditee is managing Federal awards in compliance with laws, regulations, and the provisions of contracts or grant agreements that could have a material effect on each of its Federal programs."

Internal control means a process, developed by the entity's management and other personnel, designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the achievement of objectives in the following categories: (1) Reliability of financial reporting; (2) Compliance with applicable laws and regulations; and (3) Effectiveness and efficiency of operations.

The Fiscal year 2007-2008 grant agreement with the State of California requires the County submit the County Expense Claim (CEC) for program administrative costs to the State of California on a quarterly basis for reimbursement.

Condition:

Material Weakness, Material Instance of Non-Compliance - The County submits the CEC for program administrative costs to the State of California on a quarterly basis for reimbursement. Caseworkers complete time study forms on a quarterly basis, which are compiled into a time study summary report that is used to allocate the payroll expenditures to the various federal programs in the CEC.

Of the 60 employee time study transactions selected from the quarterly time study reports for testwork, we noted 18 tested did not agree to the quarterly time study summary reports. In these 18 instances the employee's total allocable and nonallocable hours per the time study summary report did not agree to the employee's payroll information, which resulted in a total of 46.5 hours in question from the sample selected.

Questioned Costs:

Our sample resulted in known questioned costs of $6,136.

III. FEDERAL AWARD FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS

Context:

The condition noted above was noted during our testing procedures over allowable cost/activities.

Effect:

As a result of these discrepancies, the ratios used to allocate payroll expenditures to the various federal programs in the CEC, which are derived from the data in the time study summary reports, could result in inaccuracies of allocated costs.

Cause:

The appropriate level of monitoring was not administered by the department, sufficient to prevent, detect and correct the discrepancies between the time reported for the CEC and the exception based time card prepared by the County employees.

Recommendation:

We recommend that the County review the current preparation process for the quarterly time summary reports and implement formal reconciliation and review procedures of the payroll records and the time study reports in order to ensure the accuracy of the time study summary reports. This preventive control will help ensure that the amounts claimed for reimbursement for each of the federal programs included in the CEC are accurate.

Views of Responsible Officials and Planned Corrective Actions:

The Yolo County Department of Employment and Social Services is in the process of updating our payroll policy to require supervisors to retain copies of their staff's timesheet or other accurate tracking time tracking method, such as calendars, electronic calendars so that the record of time indicated on timesheets can be compared with time studies. Along with that, the Financial Management Division will not allow any hand written corrections to the time studies by either the worker or the supervisor. Instead the time studies will be sent back to the supervisors for corrections and a revised timestudy to be signed by both the worker and the supervisor. As a result of the audit, the payroll office is now indicating when a timesheet is created for internal administrative purposes only. Financial Management Division will also conduct periodic audits of timesheets and time studies to ensure accuracy. This new policy will be implemented May 1, 2009 for the 4th Qtr CEC.

III. FEDERAL AWARD FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS

Finding 2008-03

Program: Food Stamps Cluster
CFDA No.: 10.551 and 10.561
Federal Agency: Department of Agriculture
Passed-through: California Department of Health Services
Award Year: FY 2007-2008
Compliance Requirement: Special Tests and Provision - ADP System for Food Stamps

Criteria:

The *March 2008 Office of Budget and Management (OMB) OMB Circular A-133 Compliance Supplement* requires that the County utilize the Income and Eligibility Verification System (IEVS) to verify eligibility using wage information available from such agencies as the agencies administering State unemployment compensation laws, Social Security Administration, and the Internal Revenue Services to verify income eligibility and the amount of eligible benefits. The State of California has used IEVS since 1987 to verify income information received from applicants and recipients.

In addition, it requires that the County (1) accurately and completely process and store all case file information for eligibility determination and benefit calculation; (2) automatically cut off households at the end of their certification period unless recertified; and (3) provide data necessary to meet federal issuance and reconciliation reporting requirements.

Condition Found:

Material Weakness, Material Instances of Non-Compliance - In establishing a new case, the client is certified to receive benefits for a one-year period (certification period). No benefits are to be issued after the certification period end date. The client must go through the recertification process in order to continue receiving benefits. The intake and certification process requires that information contained on the SAWS 1, SAWS 2 and SAWS 2A be obtained to determine eligibility. During the annual recertification period, the client must submit to the County's Human Services Agency (HSA) a DFA 285-A1 form. The DFA 285-A1 form, if completed, is then processed for input into the CALWINS welfare database system.

Of the 40 cases selected for test work, we noted the following:

- 2 cases where supporting documentation was not available to demonstrate income verification was performed through the Income Eligibility Verification System (IEVS)
- 3 cases where the IEVS reports were not signed by the caseworker or approved by a supervisor
- 12 cases where various supporting documents including the SAWS 1, SAWS 2 and SAWS 2A were not on file
- 5 cases where the intake PAS review was not performed
- 4 cases where the DFA 285-A1 were not on file
- 1 case where the recipient's social security card information was not in the case file or in the CALWIN system

Questioned Costs:

Our sample resulted in known questioned costs of $50,143.

III. FEDERAL AWARD FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS

Context:

The condition noted above was noted during our testing procedures over Special Tests and Provisions.

Cause:

The condition is primarily caused by the County not following its established policies and procedures to ensure that compliance requirements are met.

Effect:

Case data may not be current in the case file or the system, which could lead to initial and continuation eligibility errors, inaccurate benefit calculations, and benefit overpayments. If required forms are not properly obtained from clients case data may not be current in the system, which could lead to eligibility continuation errors, inaccurate benefit calculations, benefit overpayments, and increased error rates for the County. This situation could also result in potential fines from the State of California for excessive error rate percentages.

Recommendation:

We recommend that the County clarify its established policies and procedures with regard to initial and ongoing eligibility determination, required adjustments to benefits, required documentation, maintenance of participant files, and ensure that such policies and procedures are formally documented and strictly adhered to by County personnel.

Views of Responsible Officials and Planned Corrective Actions:

Management has reminded eligibility staff of the proper procedures for eligibility determination and documentation via two memoranda which are also posted on the department's intranet.

Lead workers conduct 100% review of all intake cases. Additionally, 5 completed re-determination cases are pulled monthly from each eligibility worker for review. Compliance is tracked by supervisors as part of workers' overall accuracy rates.

III. FEDERAL AWARD FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS

Finding 2008-04

Program: Temporary Assistance for Needy Families
CFDA No.: 93.558
Federal Agency: Department of Health and Human Services
Passed-through: California Department of Social Services
Award Year: FY 2007-2008
Compliance Requirement: Special Tests and Provisions- Income Eligibility and Verification System

Criteria:

The *March 2008 Office of Budget and Management (OMB) OMB Circular A-133 Compliance Supplement* requires that the County utilize the Income and Eligibility Verification System (IEVS) to verify eligibility using wage information available from such agencies as the agencies administering State unemployment compensation laws, Social Security Administration, and the Internal Revenue Services to verify income eligibility and the amount of eligible benefits. The State of California has used IEVS since 1987 to verify income information received from applicants and recipients. In addition, the *OMB Circular A-133 Compliance Supplement* outlines people receiving benefits must cooperate with Child Support Services.

Condition:

Material Weakness, Material Instances of Non-Compliance - Caseworkers are required to utilize the Income Eligibility Verification System (IEVS) to verify the eligibility of individuals to receive TANF benefits. The IEVS reports are required to be signed by the caseworkers to evidence their review of income eligibility. Caseworkers are also required to reduce benefits by 25% for non-cooperation with Child Support Services.

Of the 40 TANF case files selected for testwork:

- 14 cases where supporting documentation was not available to show income verification was performed through the Income Eligibility Verification System (IEVS).
- 2 cases where the IEVS reports were not signed by the caseworker or approved by a supervisor.
- 1 case where benefits were not reduced by 25% for not cooperating with Child Support Services, which resulted in question costs of $416.

Questioned Costs:

Our sample resulted in known questioned costs of $95,648.

Effect:

The County may not be in compliance with special test and provision requirements set forth in *OMB A-133 Compliance Supplement*

III. FEDERAL AWARD FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS

Context:

The condition noted above was noted during our testing procedures over Special Tests and Provisions.

Effect:

The County risks non-compliance with special test and provision requirements set forth in *OMB A-133 Compliance Supplement*

Cause:

The eligibility workers (EW) did not document their use of IEVS or properly sign and date the IEVS matching report. In addition, the EW did not take the appropriate steps to reduce benefits for non-cooperation with Child Support Services.

Recommendation:

A supervisor or caseworker should periodically review a sampling of case files to ascertain that the IEVS reports exist and are properly signed to ensure compliance with the federal eligibility requirement. In addition, case files should be reviewed for compliance with Child Support Services.

Views of Responsible Officials and Planned Corrective Actions:

Lead workers review 100% of all intake cases and 5 re-determination cases per month. One of the focuses of the review is to ensure that appropriate IEVS reports are on file or in the CalWIN system and have been signed and dated (if hard copy) or marked as processed (if electronic copy only). They are making sure that workers documented that the report was processed. Compliance is being tracked by supervisors as part of workers' overall accuracy rates.

The IEVS function was updated in the CalWIN system with Release 19. Currently a new IEVS policy/procedure is being drafted. Once approved, it will be shared with staff and posted on the department's intranet.

III. FEDERAL AWARD FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS

Finding 2008-05

Program: Temporary Assistance to Needy Families
CFDA No.: 93.558
Federal Agency: Department of Health and Human Services
Passed-through: California Department of Social Services
Award No.: CFL 01/02-24
Award Year: FY 2007-2008
Compliance Requirement: Eligibility

Criteria:

The *March 2008 OMB Circular A-133 Compliance Supplement* requirements for Eligibility require that the pass-through entity determine client eligibility to provide reasonable assurance that amounts are provided to or on behalf of eligible clients in accordance with federal requirements.

Per the Eligibility Assistance Standards Manual section 40-181, l(k), "Documents and/or evidence required of the applicant/recipient to support the initial and/or continuing determination of eligibility must be received by the County on or before the appropriate deadline established by the county and/or in conjunction with each Eligibility Chapter or these regulations," Also, redeterminations are required to be completed at least once every twelve months per the EAS manual, section 40-181.2.

Condition Found:

Significant Deficiency, Instance of Non-Compliance - Of the 40 cases selected for eligibility test work, we noted the following:

- 1 case where the quarterly status reports (QR7) was missing from the case file.
- 1 case where the SAWS 1 was not signed by the client.
- 12 cases where the 60 month calendar (YC477) was not on file or not filled out by the case worker.
- 1 case where benefits were issued over the allowable 60 month period, which resulted in question costs of $298.

Questioned Costs:

Our sample resulted in known questioned costs of $298.

Context:

The condition noted above was noted during our testing procedures over Eligibility.

III. FEDERAL AWARD FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS

Effect:

Case data may not be current in the case file or the system, which could lead to initial and continuation eligibility errors, inaccurate benefit calculations, and benefit overpayments. When the SAWS 1 form is not signed by the participant, there is no certification by the participant that the information provided on the form is accurate and complete which may result in the County providing aid to ineligible participants. As such, the County risks non-compliance with Federal and State program eligibility requirements and would be subject to sanctioning by these grantor agencies, resulting in it loss of funding.

Cause:

This is primarily due to lack of secondary oversight to ensure that all forms are competed and signed prior to granting aids.

Recommendation:

We recommend that the County clarify its established policies and procedures with regard to initial and ongoing eligibility determination, required adjustments to benefits, required documentation, maintenance of participant files, and ensure that such policies and procedures are formally documented and strictly adhered to by County personnel.

Views of Responsible Officials and Planned Corrective Actions:

Management has reminded eligibility staff of the proper procedures for eligibility determination and documentation via two memoranda which are also posted on the department's intranet.

Lead workers conduct 100% review of all intake cases. Additionally, 5 completed re-determination cases are pulled monthly from each eligibility worker for review. Compliance is tracked by supervisors as part of workers' overall accuracy rates.

COUNTY OF YOLO, CALIFORNIA

SCHEDULE OF PRIOR YEAR AUDIT FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS
YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2008

Summarized below is the current status of all audit findings reported in the prior year audit's schedule of audit findings and questioned costs.

Finding No.	Program	CFDA No.	Compliance Requirement	Status
2007-01	Financial Statements-Accounts Receivable Reconciliation/Revenue Cutoff	N/A	N/A	Implemented
2007-02	Financial Statements-Landfill Closure/Post-Closure Care Liability	N/A	N/A	Implemented
2007-03	Financial Statements-Year End Accrual and Cutoff	N/A	N/A	Not Implemented- See Finding 2008-01
2007-04	Food Stamps Cluster and Temporary Assistance for Needy Families	10.551, 10.561 and 93.558	Allowable Costs and Activities	Not Implemented- See Finding 2008-02
2007-05	Food Stamps Cluster and Temporary Assistance for Needy Families	10.551, 10.561 and 93.558	Special Tests and Provisions	Not Implemented- See Findings 2008-03 and 2008-04
2007-06	Food Stamps Cluster	10.551 and 10.561	Special Tests and Provisions	Not Implemented- See Finding 2008-03
2007-07	Temporary Assistance for Needy Families	93.558	Eligibility	Not Implemented- See Finding 2008-05
2007-08	Child Support Enforcement	93.563	Eligibility, Special Tests and Provisions	Implemented

COUNTY OF YOLO, CALIFORNIA

SUPPLEMENTAL SCHEDULE OF COMMUNITY SERVICES BLOCK GRANT
YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2008

Contract #06F-4754, 2007

1/1/06 - 6/30/08

Revenues	7/1/2006 - 6/30/2007	7/1/2007 - 6/30/2008	Totals	Total Budget
Grant Revenue	$ 65,001	$ 190,336	$ 255,337	$ 261,006
Interest Income	-		-	-
Other Income	-		-	
Total Revenue	$ 65,001	$ 190,336	$ 255,337	$ 261,006
Expenditures				
Administrative Costs				
Salaries and Wages	$ 19,267	$ 24,629	$ 43,896	$ 43,896
Fringe Benefits	9,069	$ 11,588	20,657	20,657
Other Costs	6,804	$ 9,321	16,125	16,125
Subtotal Administrative Costs:	$ 35,140	$ 45,538	$ 80,678	$ 80,678
Program Costs				
Other Costs	$ 3,043	$ 11,285	$ 14,328	$ 14,328
Sub-Contractors	87,659	$ 78,341	166,000	166,000
Total Non-Personnel Costs	$ 90,702	$ 89,626	$ 180,328	$ 180,328
Total Expenditures	$ 125,842	$ 135,164	$ 261,006	$ 261,006

Contract #07F-4842

4/1/2007 - 12/120/07

Revenues	7/1/2006 - 6/30/2007	7/1/2007 - 6/30/2008	Totals	Total Budget
Grant Revenue	$ -	$ 23,000	$ 23,000	$ 23,000
Interest Income	-	-	-	-
Other Income	-		-	
Total Revenue	$ -	$ 23,000	$ 23,000	$ 23,000
Expenditures				
Administrative Costs				
Salaries and Wages	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -
Fringe Benefits	-	$ -	-	-
Other Costs	-		-	
Subtotal Administrative Costs:	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -
Program Costs				
Other Costs	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -
Sub-Contractors	-	$ 23,000	23,000	23,000
Total Non-Personnel Costs	$ -	$ 23,000	$ 23,000	$ 23,000
Total Expenditures	$ -	$ 23,000	$ 23,000	$ 23,000

COUNTY OF YOLO, CALIFORNIA

SUPPLEMENTAL SCHEDULE OF COMMUNITY SERVICES BLOCK GRANT, Continued
YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2008

Contract #07F-4842

9/15/2007 - 9/14/2008

Revenues	7/1/2006 - 6/30/2007	7/1/2007 - 6/30/2008	Totals	Total Budget
Grant Revenue	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ 24,500
Interest Income	-		-	-
Other Income	-		-	
Total Revenue	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ 24,500
Expenditures				
Administrative Costs				
Salaries and Wages	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -
Fringe Benefits	-	$ -	-	-
Other Costs	-	$ -	-	2,500
Subtotal Administrative Costs:	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ 2,500
Program Costs				
Other Costs	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -
Sub-Contractors	-	$ -	-	22,000
Total Non-Personnel Costs	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ 22,000
Total Expenditures	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ 24,500

Contract #08F-4952

1/1/08 - 12/31/09

Revenues	7/1/2006 - 6/30/2007	7/1/2007 - 6/30/2008	Totals	Total Budget
Grant Revenue	$ -	$ 110,788	$ 110,788	$ 261,006
Interest Income	-		-	-
Other Income	-		-	
Total Revenue	$ -	$ 110,788	$ 110,788	$ 261,006
Expenditures				
Administrative Costs				
Salaries and Wages	$ -	$ 22,547	$ 22,547	$ 42,947
Fringe Benefits	-	$ 10,320	10,320	20,740
Other Costs	-	$ 8,854	8,854	18,575
Subtotal Administrative Costs:	$ -	$ 41,721	$ 41,721	$ 82,262
Program Costs				
Other Costs	$ -	$ 1,088	$ 1,088	$ 12,744
Sub-Contractors	-	$ 85,228	85,228	166,000
Total Non-Personnel Costs	$ -	$ 86,315	$ 86,315	$ 178,744
Total Expenditures	$ -	$ 128,036	$ 128,036	$ 261,006